

# Review of: "Chronic disease treatment default prediction, potential benefits with applied random sampling optimization techniques"

Toshitaka Hayashi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Hradec Králové

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Figure 4 was improved from the previous version. However, Figure 3 must show when over/undersampling was applied.
2. Besides, I have a new question for the revised version: Why is random oversampling better than SMOTE? Is the result of this comparison the same as that of other literature? If different, why? The authors could provide a discussion about it.