

Review of: "Collective Córregos Da Tiririca- Restoration of Riparian Forest in a Stream Contributor of Itaipu Lagoon – Niterói- RJ"

Bruno Oliveira Lafetá

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Introduction

Insert in the first paragraph of the introduction a clear sentence that provides a general idea of the work to follow (e.g., the introduction part of the abstract).

In the second paragraph, include a sentence that highlights the gap the research aims to fill.

Methodology

The methodology did not describe the approach for collecting experiences; insert a sentence with this information.

I suggest not identifying names of professionals responsible for botanical identification. Suggest inserting which botanical identification system was adopted, for example, the APG system. Adjust text related to fauna identifiers. Names can be included in the acknowledgments section if necessary.

Insert a sentence describing how sampling for mammals was conducted. Was it random? Any additional criteria?

Results

The Sintropy subsection should be reviewed (first paragraph). The information presented initially is an introduction and later, methodology. I suggest it be rewritten to fit the results topic.

Present in Table 2 the floristic survey, classifying by family and identifying species' authors.

Discussion and Conclusions

I suggest inserting a short sentence that provides a recommendation or practical indication based on the results obtained.