

Review of: "Boring Language Is Constraining the Impact of Climate Science"

Dr. Prateek Mangal

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The essay delves into the crucial role of language in climate science communication, highlighting the challenges posed by uninteresting and technical language. The authors argue for the need to make climate science communication more engaging and accessible to the public. However, there are areas for improvement. Firstly, the paper lacks explicit citations to support the claims made, making it challenging to verify the information presented. Adding proper references to seminal works and relevant studies would enhance the credibility of the essay. Secondly, the sample size of five randomly selected papers for review is too small for an accurate study. Additionally, while the authors recommend collaboration with cultural sectors and AI, they could provide more concrete examples or case studies to illustrate how these collaborations have been successful in the past or could be implemented in the future. Furthermore, the essay could benefit from a more structured and cohesive organization of ideas to improve readability and ensure a logical flow of arguments. Strengthening these aspects would contribute to a more impactful and convincing exploration of the language's role in climate science communication.