

Review of: "Examining GITAM (Deemed to be University), Hyderabad Campus for Crime Prevention through Environmental Design Measures: A Foundation Towards a Safe CPTED Exterior Campus Model"

Iman Saeedi¹

¹ Malayer University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The review of the manuscript entitled: "Examining GITAM (Deemed to be University), Hyderabad Campus for Crime Prevention through Environmental Design Measures: A Foundation Towards a Safe CPTED Exterior Campus Model"

This article makes use of a survey with a questionnaire and observation in order to reach some practical suggestions for enhancing the security of a campus. Although the subject has practical importance, the methodology and the way they reach the result have some important limitations and need to be corrected. From my point of view, the main limitations of this article are the way of conducting the survey and avoiding statistical analysis to reach significant results. Furthermore, the discussion needs to address other articles related to this subject and compare the results of this article with those of others. I believe that after these major revisions, this article could be published with your journal. In the following, I mention some of the other limitations of this article.

Abstract

Abstract lacks elaboration on the methodology and explaining in which way the author wants to conduct a survey, analyze the data, and achieve their goals.

Introduction

there is a need to explain more about the originality of CPTED Theory.

what does CPTED stand for?

Explanation about the theory of CPTED seems to be suitable and informative, but it lacks citation. It is not known to the author whether these sentences are well-documented in different articles or not.

Method

The method does not reflect the different steps of conducting a survey. Additionally, it lacks the necessary information for conducting research using a questionnaire and observation.

Results

It seems that the number of respondents was just five, which is not a statistically valid number. With this low rate of participation, we cannot achieve a statistically significant analysis and draw conclusions. I think this is the main weak point of this research.

According to the photographs in this article, the importance of providing an environment that guarantees the safety of the users is clear, and these photographs reflect the practical importance of this research.

Discussion

The structure of the discussion in this article is more like the result, and there is no comparison between the result of this research and the results of other research studies and case studies. So, I highly recommend that the author provide this comparison to showcase the findings they have obtained or make a distinction between the authors' opinions and the factual outcomes.