

Review of: "Incidence risk of major reproductive disorders of dairy cows and cow-level risk factors in Wolaita Sodo town, southern Ethiopia"

Anielly de Paula Freitas

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The work is very important, but it needs to be done better. The description of what was done in the material and methods topic is very poor. Terms used such as "prospective longitudinal study" among several others are only explained at the end of the manuscript.

The article title is too long.

There are several well-defined body condition scoring scales. I believe only bad, average, and good is not good classification.

Incidence risk (%) did not total 52.86 but 56.1%.

The analyzed data should be better described, as well as farm-level data.

The examinations performed should also be better described.

ECC has a known scale and is not just good, average and bad.

Cow breeds should be better described in the material and methods. As well as all the variables studied and mentioned in Table 2, they should be added in the Material and Methods and not in the results.

What test is 5% significance?