

Review of: "Saltwater Intrusion in Coastal Aquifers: A Comprehensive Review and Case Studies from Egypt"

Ramalingam Puviarasi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

When reviewing the paper titled "Saltwater Intrusion in Coastal Aquifers: A Comprehensive Review and Case Studies from Egypt," focus on the following points:

1. **Clarity and Organization:** Evaluate how well the paper is structured and whether the flow of information is clear and logical.
2. **Depth of Coverage:** Assess whether the review provides a comprehensive understanding of saltwater intrusion in coastal aquifers, including theoretical underpinnings and case studies from Egypt.
3. **Originality and Contribution:** Determine if the paper offers new insights, perspectives, or a synthesis of existing knowledge in the field.
4. **Technical Rigor:** Despite the lack of experimental results, evaluate the technical soundness of the theoretical content presented.
5. **Relevance of Case Studies:** Analyze the relevance and adequacy of the case studies from Egypt in illustrating concepts discussed.
6. **Conclusion and Recommendations:** Comment on whether the paper effectively summarizes key findings and provides actionable recommendations or implications for research or practice.
7. **Suggestions for Improvement:** Offer constructive feedback on areas where the paper can be strengthened, such as including more specific technological applications, connecting theory with practical implications, or addressing any gaps in the literature.