

## Review of: "MiR-146a-5p and miR-191-5p are the Candidates for Novel Diagnostic Markers of Ovarian Clear Cell Carcinoma"

## Sema Mısır<sup>1</sup>

1 Cumhuriyet (Republik) University

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

My opinion the manuscript is not suited for publication in its present form and the authors should perform some revisions regarding specific points as highlighted herein.

I think you should make revision of the manuscript by a native English speaker in order to improve its performance with regard to syntax, grammar and phraseology.

**Abstract:** This abstract section is not well-written and adequately presented. Authors should clearly present the aim of the study, the rationale and the knowledge gap driving conduction of this study.

**Introduction:** This section is not well-written and adequately presented. Authors should clearly present the aim of the study, the rationale and the knowledge gap driving conduction of this study. Authors should give information about the miRNA. What is the data used to identify miRNA? It should be more clearly stated why target gene of miRNA are preferred. What advantages does it provide?

**Material and Methods section:** Authors should clearly address the following comments with regard to the material and methods section of the manuscript. A detailed description of methods employed should be provided. How are exclusions in sample selection and control group? Please provide a detailed description of primers pairs.

**Results:** Although the results of our preliminary study are promising, there are some limitations. Authors should to increase the all groups sample size o further studies. Future studies are needed to confirm them observations.

**Discussion section:** Is not well presented and the authors' efforts to summarize the evidence in this topic of interest is not sufficient. Are the results obtained consistent with the literature? You should make revised again. Could you please you make revision and explain your conclusion clearly. I hope the suggestions for the better of this prepared manuscript will be useful to you.