

Review of: "Shaping Tomorrow's Workforce: Adapting University Curriculum to Address China's College Graduate Employment Challenges"

Celestin Mayombe¹

1 North-West University

Potential competing interests: No conflict of interests to declare.

Shaping Tomorrow's Workforce: Adapting University Curriculum to Address China's College Graduate Employment Challenges

Manuscript ID: DIPQAR

Reviewer:

I had the privilege to read through the manuscript and found that it weak in many sections. The study sought to establish different causes of graduate unemployment in the context of China. However, the paper need clarity from abstract to conclusion.

- 1. Title of the article and abstract: The title is attracting but confusing in terms of the focus of the study whether on university or college. What type of colleges are these? Are they teachers' colleges, TVET colleges, adult education colleges, etc? The abstract in not well-formulated and lacks important elements: aim of the study, research design and methods, population and sampling methods, key findings, conclusion of practical implication of the findings.
- 2. Introduction: This section lacks the background of then research problem and international readership. Paragraphs in this section should be placed in a sequential order to obtain a logical flow of ideas. Other missing important elements are:
- a. Problem statement with valid references.
- b. Three or four research objectives linked to problem statement.
- c. Rationale significance of the study.
- 2. Relationship to literature: This section needs major revision because it does not reflect an academic writing.
- 5. Methodology: This section is missing.
- a. I would like to suggest mentioning the research design used in the study and its justification.
- b. The authors should explain to the ordinary readers and end-user of the study the methods of quantitative data collection and analysis used in this study, not matter it is non-empirical data or secondary data.
- c. It is important to mention the data analysis.



6. Results and interpretation: This section is missing.

10. Recommendation

Accept Minor Revision Major Revision X Reject

Confidential Comments to the Editor-in-Chief

The manuscript is not publishable and needs major.

Comments to the author

The authors need assistance from senior colleagues with experience in writing articles.

Qeios ID: ZRPN96 · https://doi.org/10.32388/ZRPN96