

# Review of: "Experimental Bioethics: Integrating Experimental Philosophy, Moral Intuitions, and Cognitive Psychology within Ethical Inquiry"

Ivana Kmetič<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Zagreb

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Presented study examines the theoretical and historical foundations of experimental philosophy ("Xphi") and experimental bioethics ("bioXphi"). The topic is interesting, although the study could be more comprehensive.

The paper is written briefly; however, it contains essential thoughts important in the presented area, and I recommend its publication after a suitable revision.

In the statement: "Their contributions, in conjunction with advancements in various scientific domains – most notably neurology, information technology (IT), and artificial intelligence (AI) – constitute the vibrant and progressive intellectual nucleus shaping contemporary thought, scientific inquiry, and societal progression," – please explain why neurology is highlighted, I suggest adding other important scientific fields as well.

In the statement: "This discipline raises fundamental questions about the transformation of an emotion, which is inherently tied to neural activity and physicochemical processes, into a purely mental judgment or decision," – please remove "purely" from the statement.

In order to avoid confusion, please provide a list of references at the end of the paper (not footnotes) and remove the first footnote that refers to the author's affiliation (it is not a reference to a literary source, it should be placed on the first page of the paper after the Title/Abstract).

References 26, 27, and 28 are identical - please correct (In the bibliography, they should be cited as one reference)

References 39 and 40 are identical - please correct

References 29, 30, and 34 are identical - please correct

Please, recheck all references