

Review of: "Hasn't the time finally come for the establishment of a World Government?"

Eduardo Díaz¹

¹ Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I have read your paper, and I liked it but, at the same time, I was expecting the discussion about what is the idea of "government" (tyranny, democracy, republic, etc.), also the difference between "world", "nation", "region", or based on religion, economics, ethics, physical power, algorithms, or free election of each member of that society, and so on, because it is a very general title. I also find acceptable to speak about the point of view of this topic from the side of the Catholic Church, Christians, but it is more accurate if the title gather up clearly the content of the paper.

The second question is to ask for the reason of the "historical introduction" to the paper. Is it necessary? To contextualize the environment is always helpful but, in this case, I do not see the relationship between the Soviet Union, Kremlin and Khrushchev, or the American President (Catholic) J.F. Kennedy for the whole paper. Of course, they were very powerful leaders at that time but, what are you proposing with these two leaders?

The last part I want to talk about is the structure of the paper: I see a common description of the Encyclical but what is the aim of the paper? I ask for an academic structure of the paper, not just an essay. In case you describe it as an essay, I have to change my review. Your last sentence fit for the Christian side of the world but what about the rest if the paper asks for the world government?

The aim of these three ideas is to focus on: title, historical introduction, and the "limited" -but good and necessary start-viewpoint of your last "hope", almost just for Christians. Make it as a "world" proposal.