

Review of: "Maternal Misconceptions Against Infant Sunlight Exposure Are Still Bottlenecks in Northwest Ethiopia, by 2022"

Aregash Samuel¹

¹ Ethiopian Public Health Institute

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Title:

- Maternal Misconceptions Against Infant Sunlight Exposure Are Still Bottlenecks in Northwest Ethiopia, by 2022
 - I suggest removing the year from the title.

The background looks like a discussion part of the paper; it has to be rewritten again. There is no justification for the study.
 - No justification exists for the importance of the study. What is new in your study? The aim of the study is not given.

There is no clear objective for the study.
 - Method: If the Ethiopian fiscal year is not understood by international readers, change to GC.
 - Abbreviations need to be written in full when they appear for the first time, e.g., PNC, SNNPR, DTCSH, etc.
 - Operational definitions need reference.
 - On page 1, it says... A pretest was conducted on 5% (18) of participants before the actual data collection began. But on page 5, it says... A 5% pretest was done at Hidar 11 Health Center outside the study area. These two sentences contradict themselves.
 - Page 5,... The collected data were checked for completeness, and the overall quality of the data collection was monitored by the principal investigators. From the manuscript, it seems that only one PI is responsible for the study; do you mean there are other PIs?

Discussion

This section needs to start with a summary of your results and needs to be focused, but now the section tries to explain why the result of this study is higher compared to other areas.

