

Review of: "Comparative Review of Sculpture Programmes in Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology and University Of Education, Winneba"

María Dolores Alvarez Rodriguez¹

¹ Universidad de Granada

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article seems interesting but it has methodological and formal deficiencies that need to be fixed. I am agree with other revisions that I have read on the same article. Specifically I suggest:

1. To include all the authors cited in the bibliography, most are not cited.
2. Yo avoid indirect quotes and try to go to direct sources.
3. To improve methodology, above all, the strategies (observation) and instruments (interviews and questionnaires). To present the instruments, explain their meaning in the work; at least, include them in figures, or in an annex.
4. Review results and discussion (4) according to the data to systematize results, it also seems too repetitive.
5. Since it is a comparative analysis, it is necessary to include some tables and diagrams that can help to understand the results.
6. The epigraphs are repeated with different contents without clearly understanding what this responds to (for example: 4.6 and 4.7).