

Review of: "Climate Change and Sustainability: A Comparative Case Study"

Olukolajo Michael Ayodele¹

¹ Federal University of Technology, Akure

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article titled "Climate Change and Sustainability: A Comparative Case Study" offers an insightful exploration of the approaches to climate change and sustainability in two distinct nations, Sweden and India. The article's title is aptly chosen, effectively conveying the central theme of the study. The abstract provides a succinct and informative overview of the study's purpose and scope. It effectively sets the stage for the comparative analysis of these two countries while emphasizing the global relevance of the subject matter. However the authors should pay attention to the following aspects for clarity and indepth

1. **Recent and Specific Data:** The article could benefit from the inclusion of the most recent data and statistics to provide up-to-date information on Sweden and India's sustainability efforts. Utilizing recent data would enhance the credibility and relevance of the study, ensuring that readers have access to the latest information regarding both countries' progress in sustainability.
2. **Methodology Details:** While the abstract briefly mentions the study's comparative case study approach, a more comprehensive and detailed explanation of the research methodology would add value. Including information about data collection methods, analysis techniques, and any limitations encountered during the study would enhance the transparency and credibility of the research.
3. **Policy Implementation Challenges:** The article could delve deeper into the challenges faced in implementing sustainability policies in both Sweden and India. Exploring barriers, resistance, or limitations encountered during policy implementation would provide a more well-rounded view of the efforts and constraints in achieving sustainability goals.
4. **Public and Stakeholder Perspectives:** Incorporating insights or opinions from the general public, stakeholders, or industry experts in both countries would enrich the article. Including diverse perspectives would add depth to the analysis, allowing for a more holistic understanding of the societal reception and impact of sustainability initiatives.
5. **Discussion of Failed Initiatives:** Addressing initiatives that have not been as successful or faced significant challenges in both countries would provide a more balanced view. Understanding failures or setbacks is as crucial as highlighting successes, as it allows for a thorough analysis of the strategies and an assessment of areas that need improvement.
6. **Comparative Analysis Framework:** Explicitly outlining the framework used for the comparative analysis of Sweden and India would be beneficial. Defining the criteria for comparison and the specific aspects that were evaluated in each country's sustainability efforts would provide clarity and structure to the comparative assessment.
7. **In-depth Examination of Public Awareness Strategies:** While the article mentions the importance of public

awareness, it could benefit from a more in-depth exploration of public awareness strategies and campaigns in both countries. Analyzing successful campaigns and their impact on behavioral changes would provide valuable insights.

8. **Diversity of Sources:** Expanding the range of sources beyond academic literature to include interviews with policymakers, practitioners, or NGOs involved in sustainability efforts could enrich the article. Incorporating a diversity of sources would enhance the depth and breadth of the analysis.

Addressing these areas for improvement would augment the comprehensiveness, depth, and relevance of the article, ultimately providing a more robust and informative resource for readers.