

# Review of: "Digital Literacy Skills of Teachers: A Study on ICT Use and Purposes"

Ulař Ilıc<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Pamukkale University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors,

Congratulations on your work. I enjoyed reading it. I have some suggestions to improve your research. Here they are:

1. First, Zambia can be added as a keyword.
2. Second, it is good to read about the regions' status. However, it would be more beneficial to shorten the introduction section to ensure readability.
3. Pay attention to the ordering of in-text citations. For example, on page 2 (Falloon, 2020; Bejaković & Mrnjavac, 2020; van Laar et al., 2020; Tohara & Al, 2021).
4. It may be more useful to provide the "1.1. Objectives of the Study" section before the methodology. Additionally, in this section, it would be helpful to emphasize Zambia and mention that a comparison with other regions will be made.
5. Correcting some spelling mistakes will make the work more professional. For example, on page 5, "continent. (Kolog et al., 2022)".
6. The number of teachers could be given in section 3.2.
7. Were 10 or 20 schools used in the study? The abstract mentions 20, but the methods section mentions 10. It should be clarified.
8. Instead of repeating the information from the table in the text, it would be more beneficial to provide only a portion of this information and include brief interpretations based on the data. In addition, for questions that contain a small amount of information, only providing the text instead of both the table and the text can improve readability.
9. Including recent studies from 2023 can strengthen the research.

Sincerely,