

Review of: "Perceptions and Attitudes about COVID-19 Vaccines Regarding Vaccine Intention and Hesitancy of Attendants of a Healthcare Center in Northern Cyprus"

Idil Hussein¹

¹ National Institute for Health and Welfare, Finland

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract:

- Results: first sentence is a bit unclear. Did you mean "... were vaccinated against COVID-19 with one dose, 64.5% with two doses and 19.4% with three doses."? Please revise
- Results: there is no mention of the intention to vaccinate among the study participants – eventually how many had the intention to vaccinate themselves against COVID-19?

Introduction and objectives:

- the introduction discusses vaccine hesitancy and uptake but not intention. Is it possible to add a chapter or two regarding vaccination intention?

Sampling:

- how was the non-probability convenience sampling done in practice – how and who did you choose? Maybe good to explain with a sentence or two.
- here you discuss 428 patients and their companions. Are these the same as "attendants" mentioned in the abstract? What is the n for the companions? Did both patients and companions fill out the questionnaire? In the table the n for the population is 428 – does this number include patients, companions, and other attendants. Please clarify

Data collection tool and method:

- It would be useful to add the questionnaire as a supplement if it can be translated to English. Helps the reader to understand the study better.
- Were the pre-test patients and their companions removed from the study population?

Ethics issues:

- was the informed consent obtained from the minors themselves, or did their parents sign the consent for the participating minor?

Results:

- 93% of participants were vaccinated with one or more vaccine doses. How did you differentiate between those who were already vaccinated vs. those who had the intention to vaccinate themselves? Or did you examine intention to *fully* vaccinate (three doses)?
- table 3. please correct “Janssen” under vaccine type
- table 4. has not been discussed in the text